

Checklist for peer reviewers

This document may be sent to the Authors of the book. Please, send separately any information that you want to be kept confidential.

Title of the book _____

Fill the following checklist, reporting details about any deficiencies, suggestions, further comments concerning the book on a separate file.

	Yes	No	If no, describe the answer in detail
Title			
Does it reflect the content?			
The main text			
Is it described clearly?			
Are chapters well organized and following a logical sequencing?			
Are there unnecessary sections/chapters to be deleted or necessary sections/chapters to be added?			
It is based on shared scientific evidence? On updated documents (e.g. guidelines, systematic reviews, etc.)?			
References			
Are the reported references relevant and updated?			
Do they include the main studies and/or guidelines on the described topic?			
Tables			
Are they necessary?			
Are they well organized and understandable?			

Figures
Are they necessary?
Are they clear and well described?
Are they respectful of the privacy of the patient (if applicable)?
Other
Are there any answers not given by the book and that would be useful to describe?
Are there additional information that the author does not provide and that would be useful to report?

Assessment of overall quality

Select the most appropriate item:

Excellent. This is an extremely interesting book, clear and well documented, and can therefore be a valuable aid to clinical practice and an updating for readers

Good. It is a book useful for clinical practice, which provides interesting and well-documented information

Fairly good. It is of limited interest from a clinical and practical perspective

Below average. It is not well written, or it is not well organized

Poor. It presents obvious errors or significant deficiencies in its contents

Recommendations for the editorial staff

Select the most appropriate item:

Accept submission without editorial revisions.

Revisions required. The Author is invited to revise the manuscript to address specific concerns. Revised manuscripts are evaluated by the Press Editor.

Resubmit for review. The Author is invited to substantially revise the manuscript in terms of consistency, writing, and organization before reconsideration. Resubmitted manuscripts are reviewed by the Press Editor and Reviewers.

Resubmit elsewhere. The Author is invited to submit the manuscript to a more appropriate publisher.

Decline submission. The book/chapter is not adequate for publication, usually because of major interpretational problems, poor quality, or ethical problems.